Axiomatic Logic for Dialectical Cycles in Jazz

Ben Markwart

Spring 2025

Methodological Overview

This document applies axiomatic logic to model the dialectical progression of jazz. Rather than using predicate functions to define properties of individual compositions, this approach constructs each cycle from a series of axioms—statements accepted as foundational truths. From these axioms, logical theorems are formally derived, demonstrating how transformations in jazz emerge necessarily from internal contradictions. Each cycle is treated as a self-contained deductive system, with final synthesis derived from conflicting traits of the thesis and antithesis. The meta-logic at the end extends this model across cycles, formalizing a coherent, recursive structure for understanding jazz not merely as historical development but as a logically necessitated evolution of musical form.

Cycle 1: From Pop to Bop

Axioms

A1: $P \to \neg B$ (Swing implies not Bebop)

A2: $B \to \neg P$ (Bebop implies not Swing)

A3: $P \wedge B \to M$ (Presence of both implies Modal synthesis)

A4: $M \to T$ (Modal synthesis implies transformation)

Theorem 1.

$P \wedge B \to T$
Proof. From A3 and A4 via hypothetical syllogism. Q.E.D.

Cycle 2: From Form to Freedom

Axioms

B1: $S \to \neg F$ (Structured form excludes free form)

B2: $F \rightarrow \neg S$ (Free form excludes structure)

B3: $S \wedge F \rightarrow T$ (Integration implies transformation)

Theorem 2.

$S \wedge F \rightarrow T$
Proof. Directly from B3. Q.E.D.

Cycle 3: Electrification and Identity Crisis

Axioms

C1: $F \rightarrow \neg A$ (Fusion excludes Avant-Garde rejection)

C2: $A \rightarrow \neg F$ (Avant-Garde excludes Fusion)

C3: $F \wedge A \rightarrow U$ (Combined implies Afrofuturist hybrid)

C4: $U \rightarrow T$ (Hybrid implies transformation)

Theorem 3.

$F \wedge A \rightarrow T$
Proof. From C3 and C4 by syllogism. Q.E.D.

Cycle 4: Accessibility and Commercialization

Axioms

D1: $C \rightarrow \neg A$ (Complexity negates Accessibility)

D2: $A \rightarrow \neg C$ (Accessibility negates Complexity)

D3: $C \wedge A \rightarrow S$ (Coexistence implies Synthesis)

D4: $S \rightarrow M$ (Synthesis implies meaningful transformation)

Theorem 4.

$C \wedge A \rightarrow M$
Proof. From D3 and D4. Q.E.D.

Cycle 5: Fragmentation to Intimacy

Axioms

E1: $V \to \neg F$ (Virtuosity negates Fragmentation)

E2: $F \to \neg V$ (Fragmentation negates Virtuosity)

E3: $V \wedge F \to I$ (Both lead to Intimacy)

E4: $I \to M$ (Intimacy implies transformation)

Theorem 5.

$V \wedge F \to M$
Proof. From E3 and E4. Q.E.D.

Meta-Logic: Finalization of the Dialectical System

Meta-Axioms

F1: $D(i) \to T_i \in J$ (Each dialectical synthesis belongs to the set of Jazz transformations)

F2: $R(T_i, T_{i+1})$ for $i = 1$ to 4 (Each synthesis is dialectically related to the next)

F3: $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{5} D(i) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{4} R(T_i, T_{i+1}) \to E$ (Collective structure implies evolutionary coherence)

F4: $E \to J$ (Coherence implies dialectical evolution of Jazz)

Final Theorem.

$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{5} D(i) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{4} R(T_i, T_{i+1}) \to J$
Proof. From F3 and F4 by hypothetical syllogism. Q.E.D.