

# Early Life Harshness & Unpredictability: Adaptively Shaping Young Children's Effortful Control

Shannon M. Warren & Melissa A. Barnett, University of Arizona

## Introduction

This study investigates the links between early life harshness and unpredictability, and effortful control development in early childhood.

### **Developmental Research Framed in Life History** Theory

Early exposure to harshness & unpredictability:

- serve as cues about the anticipated (adult) environment (Belsky et al., 1991);
- shape developmental trajectories in ways aimed to adaptively match the individual to their environment (Belsky et al., 1991);
- are unique predictors of development (Ellis et al.,
- may have implications for the development of effortful control.

#### **Effortful control:**

- the future-oriented aspect of self-regulation (Rothbart & Rueda, 2005);
- associated with increased prosocial behaviors and overall school competence (Diamond, 2006);
- may not be as adaptive as impulsivity in environments requiring the ability to be more present-oriented, competitive, resourceful, and opportunistic (Wenner et al., 2013).

**Hypothesis**: Early life harshness and unpredictability will uniquely and negatively predict effortful control at age 3.

## Methods

**Sample** Predominantly economically disadvantaged families (N = 1,745) from Building Strong Families parental relationship skills intervention study; 62% of mothers and 75% of fathers identified as Black/African American.

Time points: Baseline (T0), 15 months (T1), & 36 months (T2)

### Harshness (IV)

**Economic harshness:** Self-reported family household income, T1 & T2

#### Harsh parenting:

Observed parental harshness & responsiveness: Semi-structured play task, T2

Harsh Discipline: 7 items from the Conflict Tactics

Scale: Parent Child Version

# Methods (cont.)

**Neighborhood harshness** ( $\alpha$  = .82): 8 interviewer-rated items (e.g., condition of housing), T2 **Unpredictability (IV)** 

### Financial unpredictability

Inconsistency in employment: Mother & father reports of (in)consistent employment, T1 & T2 Instances of financial hardship: Mother-reported (e.g., instances of utility shutoff), T1 & T2

#### Father unpredictability

Mother-reported coresidential transitions of father and/or new partner across T0, T1, & T2.

#### **Unpredictable daily routines**

Mother reports on the frequency of child's regular daily routines (e.g., goes to bed at a regular time), T2 reverse-scored

#### Child's Effortful Control (DV) ( $\alpha = .86$ )

Interviewer-rated including task persistence, attention span, body movement (reverse-coded), and attention to directions, T2

Analyses Multiple imputation using a chained equation method (Enders, 2010) and hierarchical regression analyses

Table 1. Bivariate correlation matrix.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1. Child's sex														
2. Income:Poverty, T1	.01													
3. Income:Poverty, T2	.01	.16***												
4. Responsive parenting, mother	.06*	.07	.15***											
5. Responsive parenting, father	.02	.06	.12***	.26***										
6. Harsh parenting, mother	08**	05	11	49***	13**									
7. Harsh parenting, father	06	04	02***	16***	50***	.18***								
8. Harsh discipline, mother	04	.04	.09**	.06*	.02	.02	.01							
9. Harsh discipline, father	10***	.05	.10***	.04	.03	.00	.05	.27***						
10. Harsh neighborhood	.10	07**	15***	04***	12***	.11	.04***	08**	04					
11. Unpredictable Employment	.02	.02	05*	.01	.02	.03	.02	.02	.05	.11***				
12. Unpredictable Finances	.00	03	05*	.03	.04	.05	.00	.10***	.06*	.06*	.11***			
13. Father unpredictability	06*	10***	13***	.04	05*	.12***	.08***	.00	13***	.03	05	.03		
14. Unpredictable daily routines	02	01	01	07**	.00	.03	.01	.05	.02	.01	01	02	.01	
12. Effortful control (DV)	.22***	01	.03	.25***	.15***	19***	13***	.00	04	13***	.01	.02	.05	03

Notes. T1 = 15 months, T2 = 36 months, DV = dependent variable. \*p < .05. \*\*p < .01. \*\*\*p < .001.

# Results

Table 2. Summary of Step 3 Hierarchical Regression

	b	SE	β
Constant	2.45	0.30	
Control $(R^2 = .06***)$			
Child sex	0.19	0.14	0.13
White, Mother <sup>a</sup>	- 0.15*	0.07	- 0.10
White, Father <sup>a</sup>	0.01	0.07	0.00
Other race, Mother <sup>a</sup>	- 0.12	0.10	- 0.03
Other race, Father <sup>a</sup>	- 0.03	0.10	- 0.01
Intervention	0.01	0.03	0.01
Harshness ( $\Delta R^2 = .08^{***}$ )			
Income:Poverty (T1)	0.00	0.01	0.00
Income:Poverty (T2)	0.02	0.03	0.02
Parental responsiveness, mother	0.14***	0.02	0.16
Parental responsiveness, father	0.07*	0.03	0.07
Harsh parenting, mother	- 0.15**	0.06	- 0.19
Harsh parenting, father	- 0.03	0.07	- 0.04
Harsh discipline, mother	- 0.00	0.01	- 0.01
Harsh discipline, father	- 0.02	0.01	- 0.03
Neighborhood harshness	- 0.04***	0.01	- 0.09
Child sex X harsh parent, mother	0.05	0.04	0.04
Child sex X harsh parent, father	- 0.01	0.04	- 0.02
Unpredictability ( $\Delta R^2 = .00$ )			
Unpredictable employment	0.01	0.02	0.01
Unpredictable finances	0.01	0.01	0.02
Father unpredictability	0.04*	0.02	0.07
Unpredictable daily routines	- 0.01	0.01	- 0.02

unpredictability coefficients are not interpreted. a. African American was used as the reference group for race. \*p < .05. \*\*p < .01. \*\*\*p < .001. T1 = 15 months, T2 = 36 months.

# Conclusions & Implications

Based on results, cues of harshness from parenting and neighborhood characteristics may have a role in shaping lower levels of effortful control, perhaps due to the ecological demands and constraints of a harsh environment which may favor impulsivity.

This study included mother and father self-reports, observed parenting, and interviewer-rated measures. This multi-method and multi-reporter approach advances research on child development, which often only includes mother reports.

These results highlight the importance of investigations distinguishing between exposure to early harshness and unpredictability as influences on specific aspects of development.





THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

