

Latinx Adolescents' Academic Self-Efficacy: Explaining Longitudinal Links between Ethnic-Racial

Identity and Educational Adjustment

Rayni Thomas, Lorey A. Wheeler, Melissa Y. Delgado, Rajni L. Nair, & Kiera Coulter

Abstract

- · Systemic barriers facilitate poor educational adjustment
- · Took a strength-based approach
- · Identified cultural factors that support educational adjustment among Latinx adolescents
- · Direct links between ERI and academic self-efficacy were found
- · Public regard directly related to educational values
- · Academic self-efficacy mediated the relationship between private regard and educational values.
- · Academic self-efficacy mediated the relationship between centrality and both indicators of educational adjustment

Literature Review

- · Grounded in Situated expectancy-value theory of achievement (Eccles & Wigfield, 2020)
 - Culture -> self-schemata -> educational adjustment
- · Ouestions remain
 - · Generalize to Latinx adolescents
 - · ERI domains = changes in educational values and academic performance
- Past work
 - · Self-efficacy's indirect association between ethnic identity dimensions and academic performance
 - · Not examined public and private regard dimensions
- · Latinx boys and girls are socialized differently
 - · Link between ERI and educational adjustment may vary by gender (García Coll et al., 1996)

Study Goals

- Goal 1: Direct links between ERI and academic self-efficacy, educational values, and academic performance across a three-year period
- · Goal 2: Academic self-efficacy function as a conduit through which ERI is related to educational values and academic performance
- Goal 3: Within-group variability, we explored whether these associations differed by gender

Method

Participants and Procedures

- 288 families with middle school students (Mage = 13.69, SD= .56; 53% female: 86% U.S.-born)
- Measures
- Demographics
 - · Adolescent gender, Parent reports of their highest educational degree, nativity
- Ethnic Identity (T1)
 - 12 items (Kiang, Yip, & Fuligni, 2008)
 - 4 items each measures; public regard ($\alpha = .81$), private regard $(\alpha = .87)$, centrality $(\alpha = .78)$
- Academic Self-Efficacy (T2)
- 7 item scale (Arunkumar, Midgley, & Urdan, 1999; α = .78) • Educational values (T1, T3)
- 5 items, $\alpha_{T1} = .86$, $\alpha_{T3} = .91$ • Academic performance (T1, T3)
 - · "What grades do you earn in school?"
 - · Response options included "mostly As," "about half As and half Bs," "mostly Bs," "about half Bs and half Cs," "mostly Cs," "about half Cs and half Ds," "mostly Ds," and "mostly below Ds."



THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE & LIFE SCIENCES Norton School of Family & Consumer Sciences

Results

· Higher academic self-efficacy

· Not relate to educational values

· Not related to academic

performance (T3)

• High levels of centrality (T1)

(T2)

Goal 1: Links from ERI to Academic Self-Efficacy and Educational adjustment

• High levels of public regard (T1)

- · Higher academic self-efficacy (T2)
- Increased educational values (T3)
- · No associations with academic
 - performance (T3)

 - High levels of private regard (T1) Higher academic self-efficacy (T2)

 - · Not relate to educational values (T3)
 - Not related to academic performance (T3)
 - Not related to academic performance (T3)

Results from SEM for Links between Ethnic Identity, Academic Self-Efficacy, and Educational adjustment

Results from Selv for Links between entitle identity, Academic Sen-Enfracy, and Educational adjustment												
	Academic Self-Efficacy (T2)				Educational Values (T3)				Academic performance			
									(T3)			
Parameters	b	SE	β	95% CI (b)	b	SE	β	95% CI (b)	b	SE	β	95% CI (b)
Model 1												
Gender					.28*	.10	.21	.094, .495	.00	.08	.00	158, .161
Nativity					.33*	.15	.17	.076, .627	04	.09	04	220, .148
Family education					01	.02	03	045, .019	.01	.01	.05	018, .034
Educational adjustment ¹					.45*	.15	.36	.181, .775	.46*	.17	.72	.185, .842
Ethnic centrality	.33*	.12	.34	<mark>.133, .633</mark>	.08	.11	.07	151, .304	08	.08	12	250, .066
R ²	.12†	.07	-	-	.22*	.07	-	-	.50*	.15	-	-
Model 2												
Gender					.28*	.10	.22	.105, .503	.02	.09	.02	146, .189
Nativity					.32*	.14	.17	.069, .607	05	.09	04	216, .145
Family education					01	.02	03	044, .020	.01	.01	.07	012, .039
Educational adjustment ¹					.48*	.15	.39	.195, .814	.41*	.15	.59	.167, .768
Private regard	.32*	.10	.36	<mark>.158, .560</mark>	03	.10	03	233, .132	.09	.09	.14	038, .326
R ²	.13†	.07	-	-	.21*	.07	-	-	.48*	.14	-	-
Model 3												
Gender					.29*	.10	.22	.100, .509	.01	.08	.01	157, .176
Nativity					.33*	.14	.17	.074, .622	05	.09	04	221, .121
Family education					00	.02	01	040, .028	.01	.01	.07	016, .035
Educational adjustment ¹					.40*	.16	.32	.090, .731	.42*	.17	.64	.134, .829
Public regard	.28*	.09	.39	<mark>.118, .479</mark>	.17†	.09	.19	.012, .355	.04	.06	.07	069, .181
R ²	.15†	.08	-	-	.25*	.07	-	-	$.48^{*}$.14	-	-

Goal 2. Mediational Link of Academic Self-Efficacy

- · For public regard (T1), there was no evidence of mediation • Educational value, ab = .06, 95% CI [-.008, .146]
 - Academic performance, *ab* = .05, 95% *CI* [-.010, .176]
- · For private regard (T1), there was evidence of mediation for educational values (T3)
 - Educational value, ab = .10, 95% CI [.027, .219]
 - Academic performance, ab = .05, 95% CI [-.002, .205]
- · Centrality (T1) there was evidence of mediation for educational values (T3) and Academic performance (T3)
 - Educational value, *ab* = .09, 95% *CI* [.020, .223]
 - Academic performance, ab = .06, 95% CI [.004, .250]

Goal 3: Moderating Role of Gender

· There was no evidence of gender moderation on any of the associations

Discussion

· Expands past work

- · Highlights differential links from domains of ERI to academic
- self-efficacy, academic performance, and educational values
 - · The longitudinal design captures transition from middle-tohigh school

· Links between ERI and Educational Adjustment

- Educational value
 - · Sensitive to socially evaluative factors such as public regard (Eccles & Wigfield, 2020)
 - o Academic performance
 - · Proximally related to an individual's academic self-efficacy
 - · ERI scaffold for academic skills to be built
 - o Academic self-efficacy
 - · Adolescents' perceptions of their competence
- relate to better academic performance than ERI Mediational Link of Academic Self-Efficacy

Centrality

- · Motivates them to pursue educational accomplishments (Eccles & Wigfield, 2020)
- o Private regard
 - · Cultivates aspects of self-esteem rather than academic self-efficacy
- o There is distinctions between the domains of ERI

Moderating Role of Gender

- o No gender differences found
 - · Academic self-efficacy is a salient factor for both adolescent girls and boys
 - · Past, gender variability in different domains such
 - as ERI affirmation (Umaña-Tavlor et al., 2012)

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by The Greater Texas Foundation (M. Delgado, PI). For more information: mydelgado@email.arizona.edu. Affiliations: Norton School of Family & Consumer Sciences and the Frances McClelland Institute, The University of Arizona.

