

Crop Profile for Fennel in Arizona

Prepared: February, 2001



Family: Apiaceae (Umbelliferae)

Scientific name: *Foeniculum vulgare* Mill. var. *azoricum* (Mill.) Thell (*F. azoricum* Mill.)

Edible portions: thickened leaf-stem bases, leaves, flower heads, seeds

Use: fresh and cooked vegetable, condiment

Alternate names: Bulb Fennel, Bulb Anise, Carosella, Fetticus, Fino, Finocchio,

Finocchio, Florence Fennel, Italian Fennel, Roman Fennel, Sweet Anise, and Sweet Fennel.

General Production Information

- Between the years of 1994/95 and 1998/99, Arizona produced approximately 170 acres of fennel².
- An average of 94,260 cartons/year of fennel was produced between 1994/95 and 1998/99².
- Fennel production had an approximate wholesale value of 702 thousand dollars between 1995 and 1999². These values are from the Los Angeles, CA terminal prices, as these figures are not currently available in Arizona⁴.
- Fennel is grown in Maricopa and Yuma Counties.
- Land preparation and growing expenses for fennel are approximately \$3.95/carton⁵.
- Harvest and post harvest expenses for fennel are approximately \$4.10/carton⁵.



Cultural Practices

General Information^{7, 8}: In the state of Arizona, fennel is a fall-grown vegetable. Planting begins during the middle of September and is usually completed by November. During the fennel-growing season, temperatures in Arizona range from 90°F to 30°F. In Arizona, fennel is mostly grown on soils that range from a clay loam to a sandy loam with a pH of 7.5-8.0.

Cultivars/Varieties⁶: The two most common varieties of fennel grown in Arizona are; 'Florence' and 'Zefafino'. The 'Zefafino' variety is grown during the fall and spring because it is heat-tolerant. The 'Florence' variety is cold-tolerant, thus it is grown during the cooler winter months.

Production Practices^{7, 8, 11}: Prior to planting, the field is deeply tilled, disced, land planed, the beds are formed and then the field is then pre-irrigated. A preplant herbicide may be applied prior to bed formation.

Fennel is direct seeded ¼ to ½ an inch deep in 40" rows. There are two rows per bed and plants are spaced 5 to 6 inches apart within the row. Fields are cultivated two or three times during production. The field is also side dressed with fertilizer two or three

times, as necessary.

Fennel is usually encouraged to grow rapidly, thus it requires frequent irrigation. Plants that do not receive enough water can develop cracked stems. In Arizona, furrow irrigation is used for fennel production.

Harvesting Procedures: Fennel requires 3 to 4 months to produce the swollen leaf base¹. Harvesting may begin as early as November and is usually completed by March^{7, 8, 11}. Fennel is harvested by hand and trimmed, washed and packed in the field⁹. Fennel is packed 2 dozen per wax cardboard carton⁹. In order to meet Arizona standards, a minimum of 90% of the fennel must be free of insect injury, worms, mold, decay and other serious defects that affect the fennel's appearance or shipping quality¹⁰.

Insects and Mites

(7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20)

Hymenoptera

Harvester Ant (*Pogomyrmex rugosus*)

Ants are not a frequent pest of Arizona crops; however, when they do occur in a field they can be insidious. The harvester ant is primarily a pest during stand establishment. They eat seedlings and will carry the planted seeds and seedlings back to their nest. When there are ants in a field, typically there is no vegetation surrounding the anthill. Ants generally do not cause damage to the mature fennel plant. Ants can also be a pest to people working the field, by swarming and biting workers.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: University of Arizona experts suggest that a field should be treated at the first signs of damage¹⁷.

Biological Control: There are no effective methods for the biological control of ants.

Chemical Control: Hydramethylnon is often used to control harvester ant populations, by placing it around the anthill. Worker ants will carry the poisoned bait back to their nest and distribute it to the other ants and the queen. Hydramethylnon, however, can only be used on bare ground, outside borders and ditch banks. Carbaryl baits are often used within the field to control ants; however, carbaryl is not registered for use in fennel fields in Arizona.

Cultural Control: Surrounding the field with a water-filled ditch can help control ant migration into the field. This method, however, is of little value if the ants are already in the field.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no effective methods for the post harvest control of ants.

Alternative Control: Rotenone is an alternative method used by some growers to control ant populations. Another method is to pour boiling water that contains a citrus extract down the anthill to kill populations inside.

Coleoptera

Striped flea beetle (*Phyllotreta striolata*)

Potato flea beetle (*Epitrix cucumeris*)

Western black flea beetle (*Phyllotreta pusilla*)

Western striped flea beetle (*Phyllotreta ramosa*)

The color of flea beetles varies between species, but all species have a hard body and large hind legs. When flea beetles are disturbed, their large hind legs allow them to jump great distances.

Flea beetles can cause damage to fennel during the initial seedling stages. The female flea beetle lays her eggs in the soil, on leaves, or within holes and crevices in the fennel plant. Depending on the species, the larvae feed on the leaves or the roots of the fennel plant. The adult beetles will also feed on the fennel plant, chewing small holes and pits into the underside of leaves. These insects are the most damaging during stand establishment. Even a small population can stunt or kill a stand of seedlings. Mature plants, however, are more tolerant of feeding and rarely suffer severe damage. However, if the fennel leaves or stem are damaged, however, the plant is unmarketable.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: Flea beetles often migrate from surrounding production areas and Sudan grass. Fields should be monitored weekly for flea beetles and damage. Experts at the University of Arizona recommend treating prior to formation of the swollen stem when there is 1 beetle per 50 plants¹⁶. Once the stem has formed, fennel does not normally require treatment unless populations are extremely dense¹⁶.

Biological Control: There are no natural predators or parasites that can effectively control flea beetle populations.

Chemical Control: Methomyl and pyrethroids such as permethrin are frequently used treatments for the control of flea beetles. Methomyl is foliar applied; pyrethroids can be foliar applied or chemigated. Pyrethroids applied by chemigation have the added benefit of targeting crickets, grasshoppers and lepidopterous larvae. Diazinon is another commonly used chemistry to control flea beetles; however, it is not registered for use on fennel grown in Arizona.

Cultural Control: It is important to control volunteer plants and weeds, in and around the field, which could act as a host for flea beetles. Crop rotation is important; however, flea beetles have a wide range of hosts so not all crops are suitable for rotation. Fennel fields should be disked under immediately following the final harvest to kill any larvae pupating in the soil. It is also important that Sudan grass is plowed under within a week of the final harvest, as this crop often harbors flea beetles.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no effective methods for the post-harvest control of flea beetles.

Alternative Control: Some growers use rotenone dust and pyrethrins to control flea beetles. Alternative control of these pests, however, is very difficult.

Darkling Beetle (*Blapstinus* sp.)

Rove Beetle (*Staphylinids* sp.)

Darkling beetles are dull black-brown in color. They are often confused with predaceous ground beetles, which are also black-brown but are shiny and lack clubbed antennae. It should be noted that the predaceous ground beetle is beneficial because it feeds on caterpillars and other insects.

Rove beetles are a ¼" in length, or smaller, have a shiny, dark black-brown body and very short elytra that cover the wings. These beetles are frequently confused with winged ants and termites.

Darkling and rove beetles are most damaging during seedling establishment, digging planted seeds out of the soil. They will also feed on fennel seedlings, girdling plants at the soil surface. Sometimes these beetles feed on the leaves of older plants. Darkling and rove beetles, however, are normally not a threat to mature plants unless their populations are high.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: Nighttime is the best time to monitor a field for darkling beetles; this is when they are the most active. During the day they tend to hide in the soil or debris. These beetles often migrate from nearby cotton and alfalfa fields or weedy areas. According to University of Arizona guidelines a fennel field should be treated when beetle populations are high or there is a threat of migration into the field¹⁶. Fennel plants that have 5 to 6 leaves are usually not at risk for beetle attack¹⁶.

Biological Control: There are no effective methods for the biological control of rove and darkling beetles.

Chemical Control: Placing baits around the perimeter of the field will provide some control when beetles migrate into the field. Methomyl and pyrethroids are routinely used for the treatment of rove beetle and darkling beetle populations. Pyrethroids can be chemigated through the sprinkler system or foliar applied. These two active ingredients will also help control cricket, grasshopper and lepidopterous larvae populations. Diazinon is another commonly used chemistry to control beetles; however, it is not registered for use on fennel grown in Arizona.

Cultural Control: It is important to control weeds in the field, and surrounding the field, that can act as hosts for darkling and rove beetles. Ditches filled with water around the field's perimeter can deter beetle migration into the field. This method, however, is ineffective if there are beetles already in the field. Fields should be deeply plowed to reduce soil organic matter and beetle reproduction.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no post-harvest control methods for rove beetles or darkling beetles.

Alternative Control: Some growers use rotenone and neem oil to control darkling and rove beetles.

Orthoptera

Cricket (*Gryllus* sp.)

Crickets are rarely a problem in Arizona but dense populations are capable of destroying an entire crop. Crickets are ½ to 1" in length, and brown-black in color. Most feeding occurs at night; during the day crickets hide in the soil, weeds, ditches and under irrigation pipes. Crickets attack fennel seedlings as they emerge from the soil.

Cricket populations build up in cotton fields, Sudan grass and desert flora and then move from these fields into fennel fields at the end of the summer. Fields that use over-head sprinkler irrigation encourage inhabitation by creating an ideal environment for crickets because female crickets lay their eggs in damp soil.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: Crickets are difficult to monitor for during the day, as they tend to hide. One can check underneath irrigation pipes; however, a visual inspection of damage is usually sufficient to give an estimate of cricket activity. Fields planted near cotton or Sudan grass should be closely monitored. Experts at the University of Arizona recommend that a field should be treated when cricket damage is high or there is a threat of cricket migration into the field¹⁷.

Biological Control: There are no effective methods for biologically controlling cricket populations.

Chemical Control: Baits such as, permethrin, can be used to control cricket populations. Baits are usually placed at the field borders to target crickets migrating into the field. Methomyl and pyrethroids are the most commonly used chemistries for controlling cricket populations. These insecticides can be ground applied or applied by chemigation. Spraying, rather than using baits, has the added benefit of also targeting lepidopterous pests.

Cultural Control: Fields should be disked immediately following harvest; this will help control cricket populations.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no effective methods for the post-harvest control of crickets.

Alternative Control: Some growers use rotenone to control cricket populations.

Spur-throated grasshopper (*Schistocerca* sp.)

Desert (Migratory) Grasshopper (*Melanoplus sanguinipes*)

In Arizona, grasshoppers are usually not a threat to fennel stands. Occasionally, sometimes after a heavy rain, the grasshopper population can 'explode'. In these years grasshoppers move from the desert into produce fields and can decimate entire crops. Due to their ability to fly, it is difficult to prevent the migration of grasshoppers into a field. There have been such outbreaks in previous years in Arizona; however, they are rare. Grasshoppers are foliage feeders and will chew holes into leaves. In most years, grasshopper populations are so small their damage is insignificant. Often the grasshoppers are merely seeking shade in the field.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: University of Arizona experts suggest that fields should be treated as soon as grasshoppers begin to cause damage to the crop¹⁷.

Biological Control: A predaceous protozoan, *Nosema locustae*, can be used to control grasshopper populations.

Chemical Control: If grasshopper populations are large, chemical control is usually the only option. Chemical control of these insects can be difficult. Pyrethroids have been used in the past with some success.

Cultural Control: If grasshopper populations are decimating a field, replanting is often the only option.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no effective methods for the post-harvest control of grasshoppers.

Alternative Control: Some growers use rotenone to control grasshopper populations.

Lepidoptera

Lepidopterous complex = loopers, beet armyworm, corn earworm and tobacco budworm.

Black Cutworm (*Agrotis ipsilon*)

Variegated Cutworm (*Peridroma saucia*)

Granulate Cutworm (*Agrotis subterranea*)

The threat of cutworms in Arizona is sporadic, and appears to increase in response to environmental conditions such as warm temperatures. The adult moth has gray-brown fore wings with irregular markings; the hind wings are lighter in color. The female moth lays her eggs on the leaves and stem near the soil surface.

Cutworm populations are heaviest during the fall and have the most significant impact on seedlings. Newly hatched larvae feed on the leaves temporarily, but then drop to the soil surface and burrow underground. The larvae emerge at night and feed on the fennel plants. The cutworm attacks fennel by cutting the stem at, or just below the soil surface. A single cutworm is capable of damaging several plants in one evening and a large population can destroy an entire fennel stand. When cutworms have been active, one might observe several wilted or cut off plants in a row. A stand that has recently been thinned is especially sensitive to cutworm attack. Cutworms frequently occur in fields that were previously planted with alfalfa or pasture.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: Prior to planting, the field, field borders and adjoining fields should be monitored for cutworms. Pheromone traps can be used to monitor for the presence of cutworms in a field. Once seedlings have emerged, fields should be scouted twice a week. If an area of several wilted or cut off plants is discovered, the surrounding soil should be dug into and searched for cutworms. Cutworms are nocturnal; therefore it is easiest to scout for them on the soil surface during the evening. Cutworms are often not noticed until crop damage has become severe. According to University of Arizona guidelines, a field should be treated as soon as stand loss begins¹⁶.

Biological Control: There are some natural enemies to the cutworm, however they do not provide adequate control.

Chemical Control: Baits can be used to control cutworms but are more effective when used prior to fennel emergence. These baits should be placed in the areas where cutworms have been found in previous years. Cutworms often occur at the field borders or in isolated areas within the field. Sometimes spot and edge treatments are sufficient to control cutworm populations. Spinosad and pyrethroids are the most routinely used chemistries for controlling cutworm populations. The larvae, however, are often controlled when the crop is sprayed for stand establishment pests. Cutworms usually do not get an opportunity to establish a population.

Cultural Control: Fields in close proximity to alfalfa fields are especially prone to cutworm infestation, and should be carefully monitored. Cutworms tend to reoccur in the same area of a field and in the same fields. It is important to control weeds, that can act as hosts to cutworms, in and surrounding the field. The field should be plowed a minimum of two weeks prior to planting, in order to kill cutworms, hosts and food sources.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no effective methods for the post-harvest control of cutworms.

Alternative Control: Some growers use *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) for the control of cutworms. It is best to spray Bt in the dark because it is UV light and heat sensitive. Spraying at night will give the longest period of efficacy.

Saltmarsh Caterpillar (*Estigmene acrea*)

The adult saltmarsh caterpillar moth has white forewings that are covered with black spots and yellow hindwings. The female moth lays groups of 20 or more eggs on the leaf surface. The young larvae are yellow-brown in color and covered in long, dark black and red hairs. Older larvae may develop yellow stripes down the sides of their bodies. These caterpillars are sometimes referred to as 'wooly bear caterpillars'.

Saltmarsh caterpillar populations are heaviest in the fall. These larvae are more common in cotton, alfalfa, bean and sugarbeet fields and not normally a cole crop pest. The larvae, however, will migrate from surrounding host fields. The saltmarsh caterpillar feeds on seedlings and can skeletonize older plants. The larvae tend to feed in groups on older plants. If populations are high, they can decimate an entire seedling stand.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: According to University of Arizona experts, fields should be treated at the first sign of damage¹⁷.

Biological Control: There are no effective methods for the biological control of saltmarsh caterpillars.

Chemical Control: Field edges should be sprayed when saltmarsh caterpillars begin to migrate into the fennel field¹⁶. Spinosad, tebufenozide and pyrethroids are the most commonly utilized treatments for controlling saltmarsh caterpillars. Pyrethroids are all contact insecticides that are foliar applied. Spinosad is a translaminar insecticide that must be consumed or tread upon to kill the larvae. Tebufenozide is an insect stomach poison that must be consumed to be effective.

Cultural Control: The simplest way to control saltmarsh caterpillars is to prevent their migration into a field. Monitoring any surrounding cotton and alfalfa fields prior to fennel emergence will help assess the degree of risk for the crop. Saltmarsh caterpillars do not like to cross physical barriers. A 6" high aluminum foil strip or irrigation pipes that the larvae cannot crawl under can provide a barrier to the field. These barriers can also be used to herd the larvae into cups of oil. A ditch of water containing oil or detergent that surrounds the perimeter of the field can also be used as a barrier. Barriers work well to exclude saltmarsh caterpillars from the field, but will have no useful value if the larvae have already infested the field.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no effective methods for the post-harvest control of saltmarsh caterpillars.

Alternative Control: *Bacillus thuringiensis* may be used to control saltmarsh caterpillars. One important consideration when using *B. thuringiensis* is its tendency to break down when exposed to UV light and heat. Usually it is sprayed at night to allow the longest period of efficacy.

Cabbage Looper (*Trichoplusia ni*)
Alfalfa Looper (*Autographa californica*)



Loopers are a major pest in the central and southwestern deserts of Arizona. They are present all year, but their populations are highest in

the fall when winter vegetables are grown. Loopers can cause significant damage to fennel grown in Arizona.

Cabbage loopers and alfalfa loopers are very similar in appearance, which makes it difficult to differentiate between the two species. The front wings of the adult looper are mottled gray-brown in color with a silver figure eight in the middle of the wing; the yellow hindwings are yellow. The female moth lays dome-shaped eggs solitarily on the lower surface of older leaves. The larvae are bright green with a white stripe running along both sides of its body. The looper moves by arching its back in a characteristic looping motion, which is also the source of its name. Loopers can have from 3 to 5 generations in one year.

Loopers will attack all stages of plant growth. The larvae feed on the lower leaf surface, chewing ragged holes into the leaf. Excessive feeding on seedlings can stunt growth or even kill plants. Fennel that has been damaged by looper feeding or that is contaminated with larvae or larvae frass is unmarketable.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: Once fennel has germinated, fields should be monitored twice a week. The lower leaf surface should be checked for larvae and eggs, especially on damaged leaves. When populations are noted to be increasing, fields should be monitored more frequently. Pheromone traps are useful for measuring the migration of moths into crop fields. The presence of parasitized and virus-killed loopers should also be noted. The University of Arizona recommends that prior to the formation of the swollen stem, fennel should be treated when populations have reached 1 larva per 50 plants¹⁶. After stem formation, fennel can tolerate 4 larvae per 25 plants¹⁶. All other larvae in the lepidopterous complex should be included in this count.

Biological Control: There are several species of parasitic wasps, as well as, the tachinid fly (*Voria ruralis*) that will aid in the control of the looper. Care must be taken with insecticide treatment, as it can decrease populations of these beneficial insects. Nuclear polyhedrosis virus is a naturally occurring virus that can assist in the control of loopers when conditions are favorable.

Chemical Control: Spinosad, tebufenozide and pyrethroids are the commonly utilized chemistries for controlling looper populations. All are foliar applied insecticides. Thiodicarb is often tank-mixed with permethrin to provide control of the lepidopterous complex.

Cultural Control: Weeds growing within the field or surrounding the field should be controlled because they can act as hosts for loopers and other lepidopterous insects. Weeds on ditch banks and adjacent fields should be monitored for eggs and larvae during seeding.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no methods for the post-harvest control of loopers.

Alternative Control: *Bacillus thuringiensis*

can be used to control looper populations, but is most effective if applied when larvae. One concern when applying *B. thuringiensis*

is its tendency to break down when exposed to UV light and heat. Spraying at night will allow the longest period of efficacy. This microbial insecticide will control other lepidopterous insects, with the exception of beet armyworms, and not affect beneficial predators and parasites.

Beet Armyworm (*Spodoptera exigua*)

Beet armyworm populations are most active during the months of July through November. In the fall, beet armyworms often migrate from surrounding cotton, alfalfa, and other crop fields to vegetable crops. Armyworms also feed on weeds including; redroot pigweed (*Amaranthus* sp.), lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album*) and nettleleaf goosefoot (*Chenopodium murale*).

The forewings of the adult moth are gray-brown with a pale spot on the mid-front margin; the hindwings are white with a dark anterior margin. The female moth lays clumps of light green eggs on the lower leaf surface. The eggs are covered with white scales from the female moth's body, giving the eggs a cottony appearance. The eggs darken prior to hatching. The emergent larvae are olive green and are nearly hairless, which distinguishes them from other lepidopterous larvae that attack fennel. The larvae have a broad stripe on each side of the body and light-colored stripes on their back. A black dot is located above the second true leg and a white dot at the center of each spiracle. Mature larvae pupate in the soil.

Armyworms can cause damage to fennel grown in Arizona. Armyworm populations are heaviest during the fall and the larvae will attack all stages of plant growth. Young larvae feed in groups near their hatching site. As the beet armyworm feeds, it spins a web over its feeding site. Mature armyworms become more migratory and move to new plants. Many armyworms will die while traveling between plants. Armyworm feeding can skeletonize leaves and consume entire seedlings. A single armyworm can attack several plants. Fennel stalks or leaves that have been damaged by armyworm feeding are unmarketable.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: Weeds surrounding the field should be monitored for larvae and eggs prior to crop emergence. If population levels are high in surrounding weeds, the crop should be monitored very carefully following emergence. Pheromone traps can be used to monitor for the presence of beet armyworms in a field. After germination, fields should be monitored twice a week. According to University of Arizona guidelines, fennel should be treated prior to the formation of the swollen stem when populations reach 1 larva per 50 plants¹⁶. Once the stem has formed, fennel can tolerate 4 larvae per 25 plants¹⁶. All other larvae in the lepidopterous complex should be included in this count.

Biological Control: There are viral pathogens, parasitic wasps and predators that attack the beet armyworm. These beneficials, however, are unable to completely control armyworm populations. Caution must be used when spraying insecticides as they can harm beneficial insects.

Chemical Control: Spinosad, tebufenozide and pyrethroids are the most commonly used insecticides for the control of armyworms. The best time to spray with an insecticide is when the larvae are hatching; this allows maximum control of the population. This also provides the opportunity to determine the degree of predator activity and dispersal deaths. Insecticides are more effective when applied at dusk or dawn when the armyworms are the most active. It is important to practice sound resistance management practices by alternating chemistries.

Cultural Control: Weeds growing within the field or surrounding the field should be removed, as armyworms can build up in these areas. When planting, it is important to monitor weeds along the field's borders and on ditch banks for eggs and larvae. Armyworms will also migrate from surrounding cotton and alfalfa fields. Fields should be disked immediately following harvest to kill any larvae pupating in the soil.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no methods for the post harvest control of armyworms.

Alternative Control: Some growers use diatomaceous earth, neem oil soap, neem emulsion and rotenone for the control of beet armyworms. *Bacillus thuringiensis* is registered for controlling beet armyworms but does not provide adequate control.

Corn earworm (bollworm) (*Helicoverpa zea*) Tobacco budworm (*Heliothis virescens*)

The tobacco budworm and corn earworm occur throughout Arizona but are the most prevalent in central and western parts of the state. The adult corn earworm moth has mottled gray-brown forewings; the hindwings are white with dark spots. The forewings of the tobacco budworm moth are light olive-green with three thin, dark bands; the hindwings are white with a red-brown border. The female moth lays white eggs separately on the plant's leaves. Twenty-four hours after they are laid, the eggs develop a dark band around the top and prior to hatching the eggs darken in color. The larvae of these two species can be a variety of colors and develop stripes down the length of their body. It is difficult to differentiate between the larvae of these two species until they are older. Older larvae can be distinguished by comparing the spines at the base of the abdominal tubercles and by the presence of a tooth in the mandible.

Budworm and earworm populations peak during the fall. These larvae attack all stages of plant growth and but are rarely a threat to fennel grown in Arizona. The larvae are cannibalistic, eating larvae of their own species and of other lepidopterous species, thus they tend to be feed alone. These larvae are capable of killing entire stands of seedlings. In older plants, the larvae chew holes into the leaves. Damage to the fennel stem or leaves result in an unmarketable plant.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: Field monitoring should begin immediately following seed germination. Pheromone traps can be used to monitor for the presence of tobacco budworms and corn earworms. Earworms and budworms migrate from corn and cotton fields, thus it is important to carefully monitor field edges that border these crops. If eggs are discovered, it should be determined if they have hatched, are about to hatch or have been parasitized. The fennel should also be checked for larvae and feeding damage. It is important to correctly identify which larvae are present, as resistance in tobacco budworms has been reported. Experts at the University of Arizona recommend that fennel should be treated before the formation of the swollen stem when populations reach 1 larva per 50 plants¹⁶. After stem formation the crop can tolerate 4 larvae per 25 plants¹⁶. All other larvae in the lepidopterous complex should be included in this count.

Biological Control: Some parasites and predators of earworms and budworms include; *Trichogramma* sp. (egg parasite), *Hyposoter exiguae* (larval parasite), *Orius* sp. (minute pirate bug) and *Geocoris* sp. (bigeyed bugs). These enemies are often able to reduce earworm and budworm populations. Care must be taken with insecticide treatment, as it can decrease the populations of beneficial insects. Nuclear polyhedrosis virus, a naturally occurring pathogen, also helps control populations.

Chemical Control: Insecticide treatment is more effective at peak hatching, when larvae are still young. Eggs darken just prior to hatching, which gives a good indication when to prepare to spray. This also allows the opportunity to check for the presence of predators and parasites. The best time to treat for tobacco budworms is mid-afternoon, this is when the larvae are the most active. Spinosad and pyrethroids are often used for controlling earworms and budworms.

Cultural Control: Delaying planting until after cotton defoliation will decrease larvae migration into fennel fields. Due to market demands it is not always feasible to delay planting. Fields that are planted next to cotton fields require close monitoring. Fields should be disked following harvest to kill any larvae pupating in the soil.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no methods for the post-harvest control of corn earworms or tobacco budworms.

Alternative Control: Methods for the alternative control of budworms and earworms include: diatomaceous earth, neem oil soap, neem emulsion and rotenone.

1999 Insecticide Usage to Control Lepidoptera Larvae on Arizona Grown Fennel

Active Ingredient	Label Min.*	Avg. Rate*	Label Max.*	# of Acres	% of Acres Treated	# of Reports**	(# of reports)			
							By Air	AW	L	CE
Diazinon (OP)	0.25	0.47	4	19.2	10%	2	2	2	1	0
Methomyl (carbamate)	0.45	0.83	0.9	26.8	15%	3	3	3	2	0
Permethrin	0.1	0.16	0.2	193.3	105%	7	3	2	5	1
Pyrethrins	0.01	0.01	0.01	19.2	10%	2	2	2	1	0
Spinosad	0.023	0.07	0.156	14	8%	2	2	3	0	0

AW armyworm

L loopers

CE corn earworm

OP organophosphate

*Application rates are pounds of active ingredient (AI) per acre. Average rate is an average of field level rates from the ADA 1080 reports using a NAS conversion table to determine the pounds of AI in pesticide products. Maximum and minimum rates come from product labels.

**the number of reports is the number of unique 1080 forms received with indicated AI. 1080s with multiple AIs are counted for each AI. Acres for multiple AI mixes are separately counted for each AI. % of acres treated is the AI acre total divided by planted acres. Only previous year's planted acres are available.

***Up to four target pests are recorded and multiple AI applications are common. No mechanism in the 1080 forms presently exists to link specific AIs to specific target pests. For this reason, all AI/pest counts do not necessarily reflect intended efficacy.

Homoptera

APHIDS (syn. "plant lice")

Green Peach Aphid (*Myzus persicae*)
Potato Aphid (*Macrosiphum euphorbiae*)



Aphids can cause significant damage to fennel grown in Arizona. There are two main species of aphid that are pests to fennel: green peach aphids and potato aphids. These aphids may or may not have wings. Green peach aphids are light green, red or pink in color. They are found feeding on the lower surface of mature leaves and will quickly colonize younger leaves as the population increases. Potato aphids have a similar appearance to green peach aphids but are larger and form small colonies on the lower surface of new leaves.

Aphid populations peak during the months of November and December and again during February and March. Populations consist entirely of asexual reproducing females producing live young; this allows the population to increase rapidly. Under ideal conditions aphids have as many as 21 generations in one year. When populations become too large or food is scarce, aphids produce winged offspring that can migrate to new hosts.

Aphids can be very destructive to fennel. The majority of aphid damage occurs during the final maturing stage of fennel. Extreme aphid feeding can deplete a plant of enough phloem sap to reduce the plant's vigor or even kill the plant. In addition, as an aphid feeds it excretes phloem sap ("honeydew") onto the plant's surface. This provides an ideal environment for sooty mold infection, which inhibits photosynthesis. Aphid feeding can cause the leaves to become deformed. Another concern are the viruses that green peach aphids can transmit such as; cucumber mosaic virus and the western fennel mosaic virus. Aphids are most damaging, however, as a contaminant; their presence within fennel will make the plant unmarketable.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: To control aphid infestations, it is essential to monitor fields frequently and prevent the growth of large populations. These pests migrate into crop fields and reproduce rapidly, quickly infecting a crop. Beginning in January, fields should be monitored no less than twice a week. Yellow waterpan traps are useful for measuring aphid movement into the field. In infested fields, aphids tend to occur in clusters within the field, thus it is important to randomly sample the field. The following is the University of Arizona's recommendations for aphid control; prior to the formation of the swollen stem treatment should begin when populations reach 1 aphid per 10 plants¹⁴. After stem formation, fennel should be treated when aphid colonization begins¹⁴.

Biological Control: Parasitoids and predators that attack aphids are available; however, they are usually unable to completely control aphid populations. Lady beetle larvae, lacewing larvae, syrphid fly larva, aphid parasites are some of the insects used to control aphids. Spraying of insecticides should be performed with caution as it can eliminate beneficial insects. These beneficial insects, however, can also become contaminants of the fennel head.

Chemical Control: A pre-plant application of imidacloprid is the most common method used to control aphids. This insecticide has the added benefit of long-term residual control. However, this prophylactic approach to control is expensive and is applied with the assumption that the crop will receive aphid pressure. Many growers will choose to wait and apply a foliar insecticide.

When foliar insecticides are used, the timing of application is critical. The only registered foliar-applied insecticides for treating for aphids in fennel fields grown in Arizona are: malathion, permethrin and azadirachtin. These chemistries will have limited activity against aphids. The initial foliar-applied treatment should occur once wingless aphids begin to migrate into a crop field. To ensure that the harvested fennel is not contaminated with aphids, it might be necessary to use repeated applications. Aphids often hide within the protected areas of the fennel head making insecticide treatment difficult. If aphids only occur at the field borders or in isolated areas, border or spot applications might be sufficient to control populations. Insecticide chemistries should be alternated for good resistance management.

There is a significant lack of registered insecticides available for treating for aphids in Fennel fields in Arizona. Imidacloprid, endosulfan, dimethoate and oxydemeton-methyl are commonly used foliar-applied insecticides, however these chemistries are not registered for use on fennel grown in Arizona.

Cultural Control: Aphids tend to build up in weeds, particularly cruciferous weeds and sowthistle (*Sonchus asper*), therefore it is important to control weeds in the field and surrounding the field. Fields should be plowed under immediately following harvest, to eliminate any crop refuse that could host aphids

Post-Harvest Control: There are no methods for the post-harvest control of aphids.

Alternative Control: Organic growers use; insecticidal soaps, neem oil soap, neem emulsion, pyrethrins, rotenone dust, plant growth activators, elemental sulfur, garlic spray and diatomaceous earth to control aphid populations.

WHITEFLIES

Sweetpotato whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*)

Silverleaf whitefly (*Bemisia argentifolii*)

Whiteflies typically do not feed on fennel; however, fennel is a host for whiteflies. It is important to control whiteflies within a fennel field to prevent their transmission to other crops.

The adult whitefly is minute (1/16" in length) and has a white powder covering its body and wings. The female whitefly lays small, oval, yellow eggs on the undersurface of young leaves. The eggs become darker in color prior to hatching. The hatched whitefly (nymph) travels about the plant until it finds a desirable minor vein to feed from and does not move from this vein until it is ready to pupate. Whiteflies can have numerous generations in one year.

Whitefly infestations are usually the heaviest during the fall. Whiteflies migrate from cotton, melon and squash fields, as well as, from weed hosts. Fennel planted downwind from these crops is particularly susceptible. Whiteflies can contaminate harvested fennel, making it unmarketable.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: The best way to prevent a whitefly infestation is to inhibit initial colonization. Whitefly counts should be performed early in the morning when the insects are the least active. Once whiteflies become active they are difficult to count. During the mid-morning, fields should be monitored for swarms of migrating whiteflies. According to University of Arizona guidelines, if a soil-applied insecticide is not used, crops should be treated when populations reach 5 adults per leaf¹⁶

Biological Control: Parasitoid wasps (*Eretmocerus* sp.) can be used to control whitefly populations, however they only parasitize immature whiteflies. Lacewing larvae and ladybug larvae (syn: ant lions) are also used for the control of whiteflies. These insects are very sensitive to pyrethroids and other insecticides, thus it is important to determine the severity of pest pressure and the activity of beneficial insects before spraying.

Chemical Control: If the crop is planted in August or September when populations are at their greatest, a soil-applied prophylactic-insecticide is commonly used. If fennel is planted after whitefly populations have declined, foliar applied insecticides can be used as necessary. The only registered foliar insecticides for treating for whiteflies in fennel fields grown in Arizona are permethrin and azadirachtin. These chemistries have little activity against whiteflies. When spraying it is important to achieve complete crop coverage, this will provide the best control of whiteflies. There is a strong dependence on imidacloprid to control whiteflies; this creates concerns of product resistance. As well, whitefly resistance to organophosphates and pyrethroids has been noted in the past, thus resistance management is important.

There is a significant lack of registered insecticides available to control aphids in fennel fields. A greater diversity of insecticides are needed to allow resistance management. Imidacloprid, endosulfan, dimethoate and oxydemeton-methyl are commonly used foliar-applied insecticides, however these chemistries are not registered for use on fennel grown in Arizona.

Cultural Control: Whitefly populations are most active in early September and tend to migrate from defoliated and harvested

cotton. Delaying planting until populations have begun to decrease and temperatures are lower will help to decrease whitefly infestation. However, delay of planting is not always a feasible option. Whiteflies build up in weeds, especially cheeseweed (*Malva parviflora*), thus it is important to control weeds in the field and surrounding the field. Crop debris should be plowed under immediately following harvest to prevent whitefly build up and migration to other fields.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no methods for the post-harvest control of whiteflies.

Alternative Control: Organic produce growers use; neem oil soap, neem emulsion, pyrethrin, insecticidal soaps, rotenone, elemental sulfur, garlic spray and diatomaceous earth to control whiteflies.

Other Contaminants (syn. "Trash Bugs")

False Chinch Bug (*Nysius raphanus*) (Hemiptera)

Lygus Bug (*Lygus hesperus*) (Hemiptera)

Three-cornered alfalfa hopper (*Sissistilus festinus*) (Homoptera)

Potato Leafhopper (*Empoasca fabae*) (Homoptera)

The false chinch bug is gray-brown with a narrow, 1/8" long body. The eyes of the false chinch bug protrude from its head. False chinch bugs tend to build up in cruciferous weeds.

The lygus bug varies in color from pale green to yellow-brown with red-brown or black markings. This insect is 1/4" long and has a flat back with a triangular marking in the center. These insects are commonly found in cotton, safflower and alfalfa fields, as well as, on weed hosts such as verbena.

The three-cornered alfalfa hopper is approximately a 1/4" long with a light-green wedge shaped body. The potato hopper has an elongated body and varies from light green to light brown in color. Both species have well-developed hind legs, allowing them to move quickly. These pests are common in alfalfa and legume fields as well as weed hosts. Leafhoppers are not commonly found in fennel fields.

These contaminants normally do not cause direct damage to fennel, they are more of concern as a contaminant of the fennel head. Populations of these insects often increase when the growing season experiences high rainfall and the desert vegetation and cruciferous weeds flourish. These insects also build up when fennel is planted near alfalfa.

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: The University of Arizona suggests that before the formation of the fennel's swollen stem, a stand does not require treatment until populations reach 10 contaminant insects per 50 plants¹⁶. Once the stem is formed, fennel should be treated when populations reach 1 contaminant insect per 25 plants¹⁶.

Biological Control: There are no methods for the biological control of contaminant insects.

Chemical Control: Since these insects generally do not cause physical damage to fennel, chemical control is not normally required until head formation begins. Growers typically spray as close to harvest as possible, to ensure the fennel head is not contaminated. Methomyl and pyrethroids are the most commonly used insecticides for controlling contaminant insects.

Cultural Control: It is important to control weeds that can harbor contaminants, in the field and surrounding the field. Alfalfa should not be cut until the fennel field has been harvested, this will prevent insect migration into the fennel field.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no methods for the post-harvest control of contaminant insects.

Alternative Control: Some growers use neem oil, garlic spray, rotenone and pyrethrins to control contaminant insects.

1999 Insecticide Usage on Fennel Grown in Arizona

Active Ingredient	Label Min.*	Avg. Rate*	Label Max.*	# of Acres	% of Acres Treated	# of Reports**	(# of reports)			
							By Air	Lep.	SE	Aph.
CENTRAL										
Diazinon (OP)	0.25	0.47	4	19.2	960%	2	2	3	2	0

Methomyl (carbamate)	0.45	0.84	0.9	19.2	960%	2	2	3	2	0
Pyrethrins	0.01	0.01	0.01	19.2	960%	2	2	3	2	0
WESTERN										
Diazinon (OP)	0.25	0.51	4	3	2%	1	0	0	0	1
Methomyl (carbamate)	0.45	0.82	0.9	7.6	4%	1	1	2	0	0
Permethrin	0.1	0.16	0.2	193.3	106%	7	3	8	0	0
Spinosad	0.023	0.07	0.156	14	8%	2	2	3	0	0

Lep. lepidoptera larvae

SE stand establishment insects = maggots, ants, crickets, flea beetles, darkling beetles, grasshoppers

Aph. aphids

OP organophosphate

*Application rates are pounds of active ingredient (AI) per acre. Average rate is an average of field level rates from the ADA 1080 reports using a NAS conversion table to determine the pounds of AI in pesticide products. Maximum and minimum rates come from product labels.

**the number of reports is the number of unique 1080 forms received with indicated AI. 1080s with multiple AIs are counted for each AI. Acres for multiple AI mixes are separately counted for each AI. % of acres treated is the AI acre total divided by planted acres. Only previous year's planted acres are available.

***Up to four target pests are recorded and multiple AI applications are common. No mechanism in the 1080 forms presently exists to link specific AIs to specific target pests. For this reason, all AI/pest counts do not necessarily reflect intended efficacy.

Diseases

Fungal Diseases

(4, 7, 8, 12, 15, 21, 22, 23, 26)

Damping-off (*Rhizoctonia solani*)

In Arizona, damping-off is occasionally observed in fennel fields. Damping-off is a soilborne fungus that attacks germinated seedlings that have not yet emerged or have just emerged. Cool to moderate weather promotes *Rhizoctonia* infection. Fields that have poor drainage, compacted soil and/or high green organic matter are the most susceptible to damping-off. The damping-off fungi will not affect plants that have reached the three to four-leaf stage.

Damage usually occurs at soil level, leaving lesions in the stem tissue. The tissue becomes dark and withered, the weak support causes the seedling to collapse and die.

Biological Control: *Gliocladium virens* GL-21 is the only biological method available for controlling *Rhizoctonia* induced damping-off. *G. virens* is a fungus that antagonizes *Rhizoctonia*. In the greenhouse *G. virens* provides good control of damping-off; in the field the control that *G. virens* provides is variable.

Chemical Control: Metam sodium and metam potassium are fumigants registered for the control of *Rhizoctonia* induced damping-off; however, these methods are very costly and generally not considered a viable option. Other than the available fumigants, which are very expensive methods of treatment; there are no other chemistries available for controlling *Rhizoctonia*-induced damping-off that affects fennel grown in Arizona. There are no registered seed treatments in Arizona for

controlling damping-off of fennel. Most growers, however, do not treat for damping-off as this disease is not currently a large threat to fennel in Arizona.

Cultural Control: All residues from the previous crop should be plowed under and completely decomposed before planting fennel. It is best to plant when the soil is warm, as this will speed germination and allow the crop to quickly reach a resistant stage of growth. Overhead or sprinkler irrigation are the best methods for promoting rapid germination. It is important to manage water and avoid over saturating the field. Fields should be properly drained and low spots should be eliminated to avoid water accumulation. When directly seeding it is important not to plant too deep as this will slow emergence, increasing the seedling's susceptibility to damping-off. It is important to avoid stressing the crop, as this will make it more susceptible to damping-off.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no effective post-harvest measures for the control of damping-off.

Alternative Control: Some growers spread compost on the soil to control pathogens.

Stem Rot (*Sclerotinia* sp.)

Stem rot can cause significant damage to fennel production. *Sclerotinia* thrives when the winter growing season is cool and wet.

The soil-borne fungus *Sclerotinia* sp. causes stem rot. In younger seedlings, *Sclerotinia* can cause damping-off symptoms. In older plants the fungus attacks the lower portion of the fennel stem causing the watery rot of the swollen stem. If the disease progresses it can cause the fennel to wilt and collapse. A white cottony mold will eventually develop over the damaged tissue.

The fungus produces large, black sclerotia in the plant tissue and on the soil surface. The sclerotia and the mycelium can survive for a long time in the soil, especially when the weather is dry. The sclerotia are spread by contaminated equipment, soil and plant tissue. Some species of *Sclerotinia* also produces sexual spores that are wind dispersed. Sclerotia germinate on the soil and then infect the plant.

Biological Control: There are no available methods for the biological control of *Sclerotinia*.

Chemical Control: Metam sodium and metam-potassium are fumigants registered for use on *Sclerotinia*; however, these methods are very costly and generally not considered a viable option. There are no other chemistries registered on fennel for controlling *Sclerotinia*.

Cultural Control: Fields should be irrigated with care, as wet conditions favor *Sclerotinia*. Weed control in and around the field is essential to eliminate potential hosts for *Sclerotinia*. It important to rotate to resistant crops, such as corn or beets, this will prevent the transmission of sclerotinia rot to the next crop. Infected plant debris should be removed from the field. Following harvest, fields must be deeply plowed to bury the sclerotia a minimum of 10 inches and encourage their decay. This will not, however, prevent the introduction of the air-borne spores of *S. sclerotiorum*. Flooding the field will help rot some of the dormant sclerotia.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no methods for the post-harvest control of stem rot.

Alternative Control: Some organic growers spread compost on the soil to control pathogens. *Bacillus subtilis* is also registered for controlling *Sclerotinia*.

Late Blight (*Septoria apiicola*)

Septoria is spread in infected seed; it will also survive in plant refuse. Splashing water, from irrigation or rain, and infected seed will spread the fungus. If field conditions are favorable for *Septoria*, late blight can result in crop loss. Moderate temperatures with a high humidity favor disease development.

Fennel that is infected with *Septoria* will develop small, chlorotic spots on the stalk and leaves. Eventually, black fruiting bodies will develop within the center of these spots. These lesions will continue to increase in size and may grow together. As disease progresses, the spots will become dry and papery. Any damage to the stem or leaves of fennel results in an unmarketable plant.

Biological Control: There are no available methods for the biological control of *Septoria*.

Chemical Control: Benomyl and chlorothalonil are the most commonly used fungicides to control *Septoria*. Copper, propiconazole and azoxystrobin are also registered for use on fennel grown in Arizona. Late blight is best controlled if fungicides are used as protectants rather than waiting for the onset of disease.

Cultural Control: Only certified disease-free seed should be used. Infected seed can be treated with hot water; however, this will reduce the germination percentage. After harvest the field should be plowed under. Crop rotation is important to prevent disease

carryover. Equipment should always be cleaned before use in another field. Overhead irrigation should be avoided, as this will aid in the spread of the *Septoria*.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no methods for the post-harvest control of late blight.

Alternative Control: Some organic growers spread compost on the soil to control pathogens.

Leaf Blight (*Cercosporidium punctum*) was recorded to have occurred fennel; however it is not a commonly found disease on fennel grown in Arizona.

Bacterial Diseases

(7, 8, 15, 21, 24, 26)

Bacterial Soft Rot (*Erwinia* sp.)

In Arizona bacterial soft rot is occasionally reported to occur on fennel. Bacterial soft rot can occur in the field, but is most common during post-harvest storage. Infection often occurs on fennel that is stored at warm temperatures, or if heat is allowed to accumulate in the storage containers. This disease attacks the leaves and the stem of fennel and is capable of destroying an entire lot of fennel.

Open wounds on the plant provide an entry for the bacterium. A plant that was damaged by freezing, disease or insects is particularly susceptible to bacterial soft rot. The initial sign of infection is water soaked spots on the plant. Once inside fennel the bacterium spreads rapidly. The bacterium dissolves the middle lamella that holds cells together and causes the inner contents of the cell to shrink. The infected portions of the plant can develop a brown color.

Erwinia is spread by; machinery, insects, rain, irrigation and humans.

Biological Control: There are no available methods for the biological control of bacterial soft rot.

Chemical Control: There are no methods for the direct chemical control of *Erwinia*; however, insecticides can help control the insects that damage fennel leaving it susceptible to bacterial infection.

Cultural Control: Crops should be cultivated carefully, to prevent damage to the plant that could provide an entryway for bacterial infection. It is important to control weeds in and around the field that could act as a host to *Erwinia*.

Post-Harvest Control: Fennel should be handled carefully to avoid bruising and wounding that will leave the plant susceptible to infection. Plants must be thoroughly cleaned and stored at a low temperature, typically 40 °F. It is important to keep the storage facility free of soft rot bacteria by immediately destroying any infected plants and maintaining a clean facility.

Alternative Control: Some growers spread compost on the soil to control pathogens. There are no alternative control methods that can be utilized during post-harvest storage.

Viral Diseases

Currently there are no reported viruses that affect fennel Production in Arizona.

1999 Fungicide Usage on Fennel Grown in Western Arizona

Active Ingredient	Label Min.*	Avg. Rate*	Label Max.*	# of Acres	% of Acres Treated	# of Reports**	(# of reports)	
							By Air	Late Blight
Mancozeb	1.5	1.49	1.5	60.3	33%	1	1	1

*Currently, there is no available data for fungicide use in Central Arizona.

*Application rates are pounds of active ingredient (AI) per acre. Average rate is an average of field level rates from the ADA 1080 reports using a NAS conversion table to determine the pounds of AI in pesticide products. Maximum and minimum rates come from product labels.

**the number of reports is the number of unique 1080 forms received with indicated AI. 1080s with multiple AIs are counted for each AI. Acres

for multiple AI mixes are separately counted for each AI. % of acres treated is the AI acre total divided by planted acres. Only previous year's planted acres are available.

***Up to four target pests are recorded and multiple AI applications are common. No mechanism in the 1080 forms presently exists to link specific AIs to specific target pests. For this reason, all AI/pest counts do not necessarily reflect intended efficacy.

Vertebrate Pests

(13, 14)

Birds can be very destructive of crops. Horned larks, black birds, starlings, cowbirds, grackles, crowned sparrows, house sparrows and house finches frequently eat planted seeds and seedlings. Frightening devices (visual and acoustical), trapping, poisoned baits and roost control can be used to control birds. Pocket gophers can be destructive to fennel crops by eating and damaging the roots when they dig their burrows. The mounds that gophers produce while digging their burrows can be damaging to agricultural equipment and can disrupt irrigation furrows. Some methods for controlling gophers include controlling food sources (weeds), fumigation, flooding, trapping and poisoning. Ground squirrels (roundtailed ground squirrel, rock squirrel, Harris ground squirrel) are known to damage irrigation ditches and canals as well as feed on fennel seedlings. These pests can be controlled by fumigation, trapping and poisoning. It is best to poison squirrels in their burrows to prevent poisoning of predatory birds. There are several species of mice that can be pests of vegetable crops; they can be controlled by repellents and occasionally with poisoning. Wood rats occasionally pose a threat to the crop and can be controlled by; exclusion, repellents, trapping, shooting, toxic baits. Raptors, kestrels and burrowing owls are all helpful for the control of rodent populations. Rabbits (black-tailed jackrabbits, desert cottontails) that infest fields and cause economic loss. Rabbits can be controlled by habitat manipulation, exclusion, trapping, predators (dogs, coyotes, bobcats, eagles, hawks etc), repellents and poisons. In Arizona, cottontails are classified as a small game species and state laws must be observed to take this species. Jackrabbits are classified as nongame species, but a hunting license or depredation permit is required to take the species. Elk, whitetail deer and mule deer can cause severe grazing damage to vegetable crops. Deer and elk, however, are classified as game species and require special permits to remove them. Fencing can be used for deer control; frightening devices and repellents provide some control. Feral horses and burros also cause damage to fennel, but are also protected by Arizona State laws.

Abiotic Diseases

(4, 13, 27)

There are a number of abiotic diseases that fennel can suffer from that can affect the crop yield and often have symptoms similar to those caused by pathogens or insect pests. If any Abiotic disease damages the fennel stem, the plant will be unmarketable.

Fennel is very intolerant of cold and frost. When fennel is damaged by frost injury, the outer edges of the leaves will brown and dry out. Freezing or cold injury can also cause the stem to crack. This damage will leave the plant susceptible to secondary infections. In addition, if the leaves or the stem of fennel are damaged or scarred they are unmarketable.

Strong winds carrying sand can abrade the leaves and make them susceptible to secondary infections. When the leaves heal themselves, it results in thickened, discolored areas that can be misidentified as pathogen infection. Wind can also severely damage seedlings, pinching the stem and collapsing them.

High salt concentrations in the soil can be injurious to fennel. Symptoms include; stunted plants, thick, dark leaves, yellowing or burning at the leaf margin and roots that are orange in color and rough in appearance. Salt may also inhibit seed germination.

Nutrient deficiencies will cause fennel damage. Nutrient deficiency damage often results in stunted plants, chlorosis and leaf spotting. Nitrogen, phosphorus and molybdenum are the most common element deficiencies to cause injury. Soil and plant tissue should be sampled regularly to determine if deficiencies are present. It is usually not possible, however, to replenish an element after the stand is established.

Weeds

(4, 12, 13, 15, 29)

Weeds are a threat to the cultivation of any crop. They compete with the crop for sunlight, water and nutrients. Fennel has a difficult time competing with weeds, thus it is essential to control weeds within the fennel field. Control of weeds is also fundamental for pest management. Weeds may host a variety of Diseases and pests that can be transmitted to fennel. Weed control is the most important during the first 30 days of plant establishment, after this period fennel is better able to compete with weeds. The canopy created by the fennel stand, shades the underlying soil, inhibiting the germination of weed seeds. The planting date can give fennel the competitive advantage. Fields planted when summer weeds are dying back but before winter weeds have begun to germinate have decreased weed competition. Due to market windows, however, it is not always feasible to delay planting. It is essential that weeds are destroyed before they flower and produce seed. One plant can produce hundreds or thousands of seeds depending on the weed species.

The summer broadleaf weeds most commonly found in Arizona between the months of August and October include pigweed (*Amaranthus* sp.), purslane (*Portulaca oleracea*), lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album*) and groundcherry (*Physalis wrightii*). Common summer grasses include; barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crusgalli*), cupgrass (*Eriochloa* sp.), junglerice (*Echinochloa colonum*) and sprangletop (*Leptochloa* sp.). The winter broadleaf weeds most commonly found in Arizona between the months of November and March include black mustard (*Brassica nigra*), wild radish (*Raphanus sativus*), shepherdspurse (*Capsella bursa-pastoris*), London rocket (*Sisymbrium irio*), cheeseweed (*Malva parviflora*), sowthistle (*Sonchus oleraceus*), knotweed (*Polygonum* sp.), annual yellow sweet grass (*Melilotus indicus*), prickly lettuce (*Lactuca serriola*) and nettleleaf goosefoot (*Chenopodium murale*). Common winter grasses include; canarygrass (*Phalaris minor*), annual blue grass (*Poa annua*), wild oats (*Avena fatua*) and wild barley (*Hordeum* sp.).

Sampling and Treatment Thresholds: A yearly record should be kept detailing what weed species are observed in each field. This is important because herbicides usually work best on germinating weeds. To choose the appropriate herbicide, one must know what weeds are present before they have germinated.

Biological Control: There are no effective methods available for the biological control of weeds.

Chemical Control: It is challenging to adequately control weeds while ensuring crop safety. It is important to correctly identify the weed species, as different weeds have different chemical tolerances. Most postemergence herbicides do not have a wide range of weed control; preemergence herbicides are often more effective for the control of weeds. Another option is to use a non-selective herbicide such as glyphosate to sanitize the field prior to planting.

There is a significant lack of available herbicides that can be used to control weeds within fennel fields grown in Arizona.

Bensulide is the only preemergence herbicide registered for use on fennel grown in Arizona. Bensulide is usually sprayed in a band over the seedling row; however, it can also be broadcast sprayed or chemigated. Irrigation is required to activate this chemistry; sprinkler irrigation is often utilized. This herbicide is effective against grass weeds and will also control some small-seeded broadleaf weeds.

Sethoxydim is the only available postemergence herbicides. Sethoxydim has good grass control but has no efficacy against broadleaf weeds.

Herbicides can cause injury to fennel if not applied correctly and carefully. Injury may result from spray drift, residue in the soil from the previous crop, accidental double application to a row, using the wrong herbicide, or using a rate that is too high. Herbicide injury can cause leaf spotting or yellowing that can be misidentified as pathogen injury or nutrient deficiency. Soil, water or plant tissue test can be used to identify herbicide injury.

Cultural Control: Fennel should be encouraged to grow quickly and establish the stand, which will allow increase the ability of fennel to out compete any weeds present in the field. Precise planting, a regular water supply and appropriate fertilization will help increase the ability of fennel to compete with weeds.

Purchasing seed that is guaranteed to be weed-free will help prevent the introduction of new weed species into a field. It is also important to maintain field sanitation by always cleaning equipment used in one field before it is used in another and ensuring that any manure that is used is weed seed free. Contaminated irrigation water from canals, reservoirs and sumps can also spread weed seed. Irrigation ditches, field borders and any other uncropped area should be maintained weed-free.

A properly leveled field is important to prevent the build up water in isolated areas, especially when utilizing furrow irrigation. This water build up will promote the germination of weeds that are favored by wet conditions.

Delaying planting until the time when summer weeds are declining but before winter weeds begin to germinate will decrease the amount of weed competition. However, due to market demands this control method is not always feasible.

Another method used to control weeds is to till the field, form beds and irrigate prior to planting. This will encourage the germination of the weed seeds. The field can then be sprayed with a nonspecific herbicide or rotary hoed to kill the weeds. After

the weeds have been destroyed, the fennel is planted. Disking will eliminate germinated weeds but will also expose new weed seed that may germinate and cause a second flush of weeds.

Solarization involves laying down clear plastic sheeting over a field, usually a minimum of 60 days prior to planting. This sheeting will super heat the soil, burning and killing weed seeds and germinating weed seedlings (LE).

Cultivation and hoeing can be used to control weeds in a planted field but should be done with care due to the shallow root system of fennel. Rows and beds must be carefully planted and the cultivation equipment must be carefully aligned. Fields should be disked following harvest to eliminate any weeds present and prevent the weeds from flowering and spreading seed.

Crop rotation will allow the use of different herbicides that may have better efficacies on the weeds present in the field. Crop rotation also promotes different Cultural Practices and planting times that will aid in weed control.

Post-Harvest Control: There are no methods for the post-harvest control of weeds.

Alternative Control: There are no alternative methods available for controlling weeds

1999 Herbicide Usage on Fennel Grown in Western Arizona

Active Ingredient	Label Min.*	Avg. Rate*	Label Max.*	# of Acres	% of Acres Treated	# of Reports**	(# of reports)			
							By Air	Broadleaf	Unspecified	Grass
Bensulide (OP)	5	3.00	6	164.5	90%	13	0	11	1	1
Prometryn	0.8	0.98	2	170.5	94%	6	0	0	2	4

OP = organophosphate

*Currently, there is no available data for herbicide use in Central Arizona.

Note: Unspecified typically refers to weeds that were treated at the germination stage or seedling stage with a general weed control.

*Application rates are pounds of active ingredient (AI) per acre. Average rate is an average of field level rates from the ADA 1080 reports using a NAS conversion table to determine the pounds of AI in pesticide products. Maximum and minimum rates come from product labels.

**the number of reports is the number of unique 1080 forms received with indicated AI. 1080s with multiple AIs are counted for each AI. Acres for multiple AI mixes are separately counted for each AI. % of acres treated is the AI acre total divided by planted acres. Only previous year's planted acres are available.

***Up to four target pests are recorded and multiple AI applications are common. No mechanism in the 1080 forms presently exists to link specific AIs to specific target pests. For this reason, all AI/pest counts do not necessarily reflect intended efficacy.

Arizona Pesticide Use Reporting

The state of Arizona mandates that records must be kept on all pesticide applications. Submission to the Arizona Department of Agriculture (ADA) of these pesticide use reports (form 1080) is mandated for all commercially applied pesticides, pesticides included on the Department of Environmental Quality Groundwater Protection List (GWPL) and section 18 pesticides.

Commercial applicators licensed through the state must submit Arizona Department of Agriculture Form 1080 Pesticide Use Reports for all applications. The use of commercial applicators varies across crops. Aerial application is always performed by commercial applicators.

The GWPL is a list of active ingredients determined by the Department of Environmental Quality to potentially threaten Arizona groundwater resources. Enforcement of this list is difficult. Strictly speaking, only specific types of soil application of GWPL active ingredients must be reported. Inclusion on the GWPL should indicate a higher level of reporting but without further research no useful distinctions can be drawn.

Section 18 active ingredients should have 100% reporting. There was no section 18s active in Arizona for fennel grown in the 1999 growing season.

Voluntary reporting does take place. Anecdotal evidence indicates some producers submit records for all applications.

Reported pesticide usage provides a solid lower bound of acres treated and a mean application rate of reported applications. Relative magnitude of reported acres is useful for rough comparison but could reflect a bias among commercial applicators or differing reporting rates as a result of inclusion on the GWPL. Finally, while the quality of data from the ADA 1080 forms has improved dramatically in recent years, there is still the possibility of errors.

Key Industry Contacts

Judy K. Brown, Associate Professor

Phone: (520) 621-1402, Email: jbrown@ag.arizona.edu

Lin Evans, Pest Control Advisor

Phone: (602) 390-4722, Email: levans4918@aol.com

Michael E. Matheron, Plant Pathologist

Phone: (928) 726-0458, Email: matheron@ag.arizona.edu

Jeff Nigh, Pest Control Advisor

Phone: (520) 580-0404, Email: bugnigh@aol.com

Mary W. Olsen, Associate Extension Plant Pathologist

Phone: (520) 626-2681, Email: molsen@ag.arizona.edu

John C. Palumbo, Associate Research Scientist, Vegetable Crops Entomologist

Phone: (520) 782-3836, Email: jpalumbo@ag.arizona.edu

Kai Umeda, Area Extension Agent, Vegetable Crops

Phone: (602) 470-8086, Email: kumeda@ag.arizona.edu

References

1. Markle G.M., Baron J.J., and Schneider B.A. (1998) Food and feed crops of the United States, 2nd Edition, Meister Publishing Co., Ohio.
2. Citrus, Fruit and Vegetable Standardization Annual Reports 1994-1999 Arizona Department of Agriculture.
3. Peirce L.C. (1987) Vegetables. Characteristics, production and marketing. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
4. USDA-AMS-Fruit and Vegetable Market News, Phoenix, Arizona.
5. The sources of production, harvest and post-harvest costs have been withheld to protect the privacy of individual operations.
6. Personal communication with John Kovatch and Mike Didier, Select Seed of Arizona Inc., Yuma, Arizona.
7. Personal communication with Lin Evans, Lin Evans Enterprises Inc., Phoenix, Arizona.
8. Personal communication with Jeff Nigh, Colorado River Consulting, Yuma, Arizona.
9. Personal communication with Joe Grencevicz, Field Supervisor, Arizona Department of Agriculture, Phoenix, Arizona.
10. Citrus, Fruit and Vegetable Standardization (1999) Arizona Department of Agriculture Title 3 Rules, 1999 Edition, Chapter 4, Article 7.
11. Myers C. (1991) Specialty and minor crops handbook, 1st Edition, The small farm center, University of California, Publication 3346.
12. University of California, division of agriculture and natural resources. (1992) Integrated pest management for cole crops and lettuce, Publication 3307.
13. Arizona Crop Protection Association (1991) Arizona Agricultural Pest Control Advisors Study Guide. Arizona Crop Protection Association, Phoenix, Arizona
14. Kerns D.L., Palumbo J.C. and Byrne D.N. (1995) Insect pest management guidelines for cole crops, cucurbits, lettuce and leafy greens vegetables. University of Arizona, Cooperative Extension Publication.
15. University of California (2000) UC IPM Online, University of California statewide integrated pest management project. <http://www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/>
16. University of Arizona (1999) Insect Pests of Leafy Vegetables, Cole Crops and Melons in Arizona. <http://Ag.Arizona.Edu/aes/yac/veginfo/bracken.htm>
17. Personal communication with John Palumbo, Associate Research Scientist, University of Arizona, Yuma, Arizona.
18. Palumbo J.C. (1999) Management of aphids and thrips on leafy vegetables. 1998 Vegetable Report: University of Arizona, College of Agriculture, series P-115. http://ag.arizona.edu/pubs/crops/az1101/az1101_2.html
19. Palumbo J., Kerns D., Mullis C. and Reyes F. (1999) Implementation of a pest monitoring network for vegetable growers in Yuma County. 1999 Vegetable Report. University of Arizona, College of Agriculture, series P-117.

- http://ag.arizona.edu/pubs/crops/az1143/az1143_35.pdf
20. Knowles T.C. (1998) Beet Armyworm. University of Arizona, Cooperative Extension. Extension Bulletin AZ1047. <http://ag.arizona.edu/pubs/insects/az1047.pdf>
 21. Personal communication with Mike Matheron, Plant Pathologist, University of Arizona, Yuma, Arizona.
 22. University of Arizona, Extension Plant Pathology (1999) Plant disease identification. <http://ag.arizona.edu/PLP/plpext/Diseases/disease.htm>
 23. Streets R.B. Sr (1969) Diseases of the cultivated plants of the Southwest. The University of Arizona Press, Tucson, Arizona.
 24. Chupp C. and Sherf A.F. (1960) Vegetable Diseases and their control. The Ronald Press Company, New York, New York.
 25. Ryder E.J (1979) Leafy Salad Vegetables. AVI Publishing Company Inc, Westport, Connecticut.
 26. Personal communication with Mary W. Olsen, Associate Extension Plant Pathologist, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.
 27. Personal communication with Judy K. Brown, Associate Professor, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.
 28. Personal communication with Michael A. McClure, Professor, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.
 29. Personal communication with Kai Umeda, Area Extension Agent, University of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona.

Acknowledgements

Judy K. Brown, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.
Mike Didier Select Seed of Arizona Inc., Yuma, Arizona.
Arnott Duncan Sunfresh Farms, Goodyear, Arizona.
Lin Evans, Lin Evans Enterprises Inc., Phoenix, Arizona.
Joe Grencovicz Arizona Department of Agriculture, Phoenix, Arizona.
Clare Jervis, Arizona Agriculture Statistics Service, Phoenix, Arizona.
John Kovatch, Select Seed of Arizona Inc., Yuma, Arizona.

Joel Lehman, Arizona Agriculture Statistics Service, Phoenix, Arizona.
Shauna Long, Rousseau Farming Company, Tolleson, Arizona.
Mike Matheron, University of Arizona, Yuma, Arizona.
Michael A. McClure, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.
Jeff Nigh, Colorado River Consulting, Yuma, Arizona.
James Nowlin, Arizona Department of Agriculture, Phoenix, Arizona.
Mary W. Olsen, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.
John Palumbo, University of Arizona, Yuma, Arizona.
Scott Rasmussen, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.
Doug Schaeffer, Pacific International Marketing, Phoenix, Arizona.
Will Sherman, Arizona Agriculture Statistics Service, Phoenix, Arizona.
Bruce Williams, Amigo Farms Inc., Roll, Arizona.
Kai Umeda, University of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona.

Research by

Emily V. Dimson
Research Assistant
Western Growers Association
2450 W. Osborn, Suite 1
Phoenix, Arizona 85015
(602) 266-6149

Data provided by

Ken Agnew
Research Specialist
Pesticide Information and Training Office
University of Arizona
1109 E. Helen St.
Tucson, AZ 85719
(520) 621-4013

Crop Photos are courtesy of Dr. Michael J. Brown

Insect Photos are courtesy of the University of Arizona.