Development of a High-Speed, Size-Fractionated Plankton Tow

David Walker

University of Arizona

Planktonic AIS

MANY forms of planktonic AIS

- Phytoplankton (including cyanobacteria like *Microcystis*)
- Zooplankton (*D. lumholtzi*, *Bythotrephes longimanus*, quagga mussel veligers, trocophores, etc.)
- Ichthyoplankton
- Bacterioplankton
- Range in size from 2 μm (nanoplankton) to >20 mm (megaplankton)
- Many forms of invasive zoo- and phytoplankton have been known to cause trophic cascades to the detriment of many native organisms (and anthropogenic needs).





Early Detection

- Critical to identify native versus invasive plankters.
- To observe long-term effects, requires long-term data.
- To observe and assess effects requires accurate, and standardized, collection techniques.







Figure 7. The BIONESS plankton sampler shown with its instrumentation and the first of ten nets in the 'open' position. (From Sameoto 1979.)





Quantitative versus Qualitative

- Qualitative: Used for presence/absence.
- Quantitative: Presence/absence with enumeration.
 - To detect long-term trends.
- Both require sampling techniques that are mostrepresentative of the waterbody in question.
 - Determination of sampling device is very important for quantitative studies.

Problems with Representative Plankton Sampling

- Determination of net mesh size.
- Nets often quickly clog (depending upon primary productivity and amount of suspended sediment).
- No size fractionation of organisms.
 - Too large of a mesh size lets many organisms go undetected.
 - Too small of a mesh size quickly clogs with little water actually going through the net.
- With the exception of nets with attached flow meters, difficult to determine the volume of water passed through the net.

• $V = \pi r^2 d$

Representative samples collected with most plankton nets are difficult at best.

- No plankton collecting device currently available offers in situ size fractionation of collected samples.
 - This makes sorting under the microscope extremely difficult if not impossible.
- In situ size fractionation makes collection of plankters that may have gone unnoticed, far more attainable.
 - Earlier detection
 - Better representative quantification
 - Especially of potential AIS species and small nanoplankters, such as cyanobacteria.
 - Also of larval forms of AIS, such as quagga veligers.
 - Can greatly enhance studies of biomagnification and bioaccumulation.
 - Between small nanoplankters up through megaplankton.







- Nets = 250 μm, 80 μm, and 10 μm (fully adjustable)
- Water is forced through each net into the net behind it.
- The first net collects everything in the water 250 μm or larger, the 80 μm collects particles 80 250 μm, and the last net collects plankters from 10 80 μm.







Initial Results

- Patagonia Lake
 - 250 μ m net : Average size = 350.5 μ m in size (range = 275 and 426 μ m).
 - 80 μm net: Average size = 129.5 μm (range = 187 and 72 μm)
 - 10 μ m net: Average size = 41.5 μ m (range = 70 and 13 μ m).
- Havasu
 - 250 μ m net : Average size = 267 μ m in size (range = 219 and 315 μ m).
 - 80 μ m net: Average size = 82 μ m (range = 63 and 101 μ m).
 - 10 μm net: Average size = 30 μm (range = 8 and 52 μm)

Future work

Compare against side-by-side nets of the same mesh size.

Potential Refinements

- Could be fitted with multiprobe sondes for simultaneous collection of gas vented depth and physico-chemical variables.
- GPS
- Sonar
- Underwater cameras

- Given their importance, planktonic AIS are relatively under-studied.
 - We need a better understanding of population dynamics, immigration and emigration, fecundity, etc.
 - Early detection
- Planktonic collection techniques need to be standardized and made as representative as possible.
 - Especially for large reservoirs.



