

The Measurement of Consumer Surplus.

PRIMAL PROBLEM	DUAL PROBLEM
<p><i>UTILITY MAXIMIZATION</i></p> <p><u>Optimization Problem</u></p> $\begin{aligned} \max \quad & U(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_i p_i x_i = m \end{aligned}$ <p><u>Lagrangian</u></p> $L = U(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \lambda \left(m - \sum_i p_i x_i \right)$ <p><u>Optima - First-order conditions</u></p> $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} - \lambda p_i = 0 \quad (1)$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = m - \sum_i p_i x_i = 0 \quad (2)$ <p><u>Optima - Second-order conditions</u></p> $L_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad L_{i\lambda} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_i \partial \lambda}$ $D = \begin{vmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} & \dots & L_{1n} & L_{1\lambda} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} & \dots & L_{2n} & L_{2\lambda} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ L_{n1} & L_{n2} & \dots & L_{nn} & L_{n\lambda} \\ L_{\lambda 1} & L_{\lambda 2} & \dots & L_{\lambda n} & L_{\lambda\lambda} \end{vmatrix} > 0 \quad (3)$ <p><u>Uncompensated demand functions</u></p> <p>(1) and (2) represent $(n + 1)$ equations in $(n + 1)$ unknowns (the x_i's and λ). Implicit function theorem (applicable because (3) is satisfied) allows the representation of money income held constant demand curves:</p> $\begin{aligned} x_i &= x_i^* (p, m) \\ \lambda &= \lambda^* (p, m) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$	<p><i>EXPENDITURE MINIMIZATION</i></p> <p><u>Optimization Problem</u></p> $\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_i p_i x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & U(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bar{U} \end{aligned}$ <p><u>Lagrangian</u></p> $L = \sum_i p_i x_i + \mu (\bar{U} - U[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ <p><u>Optima - First-order conditions</u></p> $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = p_i - \mu \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad (1')$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} = \bar{U} - U(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad (2')$ <p><u>Optima - Second-order conditions</u></p> $D = \begin{vmatrix} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & L_{ij} & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \end{vmatrix} < 0 \quad (3')$ <p><u>Compensated demand functions</u></p> <p>(1) and (2) represent $(n + 1)$ equations in $(n + 1)$ unknowns (the x_i's and μ). Implicit function theorem (applicable because (3') is satisfied) allows the representation of utility held constant demand curves:</p> $\begin{aligned} x_i &= x_i^c(p, u) \\ \mu &= \mu^c(p, u) \end{aligned} \quad (4')$

PRIMAL PROBLEM	DUAL PROBLEM
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>INDIRECT UTILITY FUNCTION</u></p> <p>Substitute (4) into the optimization problem, so that</p> $V(p_i, m) = U(x_1[p_i, m], \dots, x_n[p_i, m])$ <p>s.t. $\sum_i p_i x_i = m$</p> <p>$V(\)$ is the indirect utility function.</p> <p><u>Uncompensated demand functions</u></p> $\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial p_i} &= \sum_j \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial p_i} \\ &= \sum_j (\lambda p_j) \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial p_i} \quad (\text{by first-order condition}) \\ &= \lambda \sum_j p_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial p_i} \end{aligned}$ <p>The above expression can be further simplified by using the budget constraint.</p> $\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left[\sum_i p_i x_i \right] &= \frac{\partial m}{\partial p_i} = 0 \\ x_i + \sum_j p_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial p_i} &= 0 \\ \sum_j p_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial p_i} &= -x_i \end{aligned}$ <p>Substitute this expression into the expression for $\partial V / \partial p_i$ to get</p> $\frac{\partial V}{\partial p_i} = -\lambda x_i \quad (5)$ <p>What is the value of λ?</p> $\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial m} &= \sum_i \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial m} \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial m} &= \lambda \sum_i p_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial m} \end{aligned}$	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>EXPENDITURE FUNCTION</u></p> <p>Substitute (4') into the optimization problem, so that</p> $e(p_i, u) = \sum_i p_i x_i^c(p_i, u)$ <p>s.t. $U(x_i) = \bar{U}$</p> <p>$e(\)$ is the expenditure function.</p> <p><u>Compensated demand functions</u></p> $\frac{\partial e}{\partial p_i} = x_i^c + \sum_j p_j \frac{\partial x_j^c}{\partial p_i} \quad (5')$ <p>By the utility constraint,</p> $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} [U(x_i)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} [\bar{U}]$ $\sum_j \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial x_j^c}{\partial p_i} = 0 \quad (6')$ $\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_j} = \frac{1}{\mu} p_j \quad (\text{by first-order condition})$ <p>Substituting into (6')</p> $\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_j p_j \frac{\partial x_j^c}{\partial p_i} = 0$ <p>If marginal utility of income is non-zero, then $\mu \neq 0$.</p> $\sum_j p_j \frac{\partial x_j^c}{\partial p_i} = 0$ <p>Substituting this result into (5') gives</p> $\frac{\partial e}{\partial p_i} = x_i^c \quad (7'; \text{Hotelling's Lemma})$

PRIMAL PROBLEM	DUAL PROBLEM
<p>By the budget constraint,</p> $\frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left(\sum_i p_i x_i \right) = \frac{\partial m}{\partial m} = 1$ $\sum_i p_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial m} = 1$ $\therefore \frac{\partial V}{\partial m} = \lambda \quad (6)$ <p>Substitute (6) into (5):</p> $\frac{\partial V}{\partial p_i} = - \frac{\partial V}{\partial m} x_i$ $- \frac{\partial V / \partial p_i}{\partial V / \partial m} = x_i \quad (7; \text{Roy's Identity})$ <p>(7) gives another representation of the uncompensated demand functions; they reflect price and income effects on the indirect utility function.</p> <p><u>Measuring welfare change</u></p> <p>The measure of welfare change is obtained by differentiation of the indirect utility function:</p> $dV = \sum_i \frac{\partial V}{\partial p_i} dp_i + \frac{\partial V}{\partial m} dm$ <p>Substituting the results of equations (5) and (6),</p> $dV = - \lambda \sum_i x_i dp_i + \lambda dm$ $dW = \frac{dV}{\lambda} = - \sum_i x_i dp_i + dm$ <p>For a discrete change in price,</p> $\Delta W = - \sum_i \int_{p_i^1}^{p_i^2} x_i(p, m) dp_i + \Delta m \quad (8)$	<p>$7'$ are again the compensated demand functions; they reflect <u>only</u> price effects on the expenditure function. These demand curves are different from the uncompensated demand curves, which reflect price <u>and</u> income effects.</p> <p><u>Measuring welfare change</u></p> <p>The measure of welfare change is obtained by differentiating the expenditure function:</p> $de = \sum_i \frac{\partial e}{\partial p_i} dp_i + \frac{\partial e}{\partial u} du$ $de = \sum_i x_i^c dp_i + \mu du$ <p>This expression can be evaluated only by choosing a particular value of U, so that $du = 0$.</p> <p>If we choose initial utility, we associate welfare change with the compensating variation (CV). CV is the expenditure change needed to maintain initial utility at the new prices</p>

PRIMAL PROBLEM	DUAL PROBLEM
<p>X_i is a function of p and m, and both are changing simultaneously. Unless</p> $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial p_i}$ <p>for all i and j, the value of this integral is not unique. Instead, it depends on the sequence of price changes. This problem is known as <u>path dependence</u>.</p>	$CV = e(p^2, u^1) - e(p^1, u^1)$ $= \sum_i \int_{p_i^1}^{p_i^2} \frac{\partial e}{\partial p_i} dp_i$ $= \sum_i \int_{p_i^1}^{p_i^2} x_i(p, u) dp_i$ <p>This measure is <u>path-independent</u> because</p> $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} = \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial p_j \partial p_i} = \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial p_i}$ <p>(from 7', Hotelling's Lemma).</p>