

33 states and 1 territory responded

Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Guam, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York State, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, Wyoming.

Are you actively involved in school IPM in your state? 78.8% Yes; 21.2% would like to be but limited by monetary support/no state school IPM program/no help from Dept. Ag

Estimate what % of the students in your state attend public schools you interact with regularly

0-10%	0-10%	59.4%
11-30%	11-30%	15.6%
31-50%	31-50%	9.4%
51-70%	51-70%	6.3%
71-100%	71-100%	9.4%

Please identify your funding sources


USDA	54.5%
EPA	51.5%
State	33.3%
County	0.0%
Industry	6.1%
Extension	42.4%
Other	24.2%

Please indicate your school clientele

Public schools	100.0%
Tribal schools	22.6%
Charter schools	29.0%

Parochial schools	22.6%
Military schools	9.7%
Home school	0.0%

Please list your most significant limitation or challenge facilitating the implementation of school IPM



Please list any emerging issues?



Please indicate what kind of support you provide?

Classes, trainings, workshops, practicums	84.4%
Newsletters, factsheets	75.0%
Recognition, certification/certificates	25.0%
Policy development	56.3%
Continuing education units for professionals	56.3%
Response to specific questions	87.5%
On-site evaluation and implementation efforts	71.9%
Other	18.8%

Other:

An inspection process that does not have a penalty schedule associated with the regulations involved.

This is for general pat not IPM in Schools.

SIPM Website and bulletins

Used to provide workshops & on-site evaluations but no longer programming support activities

Website and online resources.

One to one school site audits for Regulatory compliance

Training materials provided to requesting trainers

Do you work in other areas?

Housing/residential IPM	53.6%
Childcare facilities	46.4%
Medical facilities	10.7%
Sheltered accommodations	21.4%
Landscape/parks	39.3%
Other	28.6%

Other:

In New Jersey Pre-Kindergarten Schools are included in the State School IPM Law, they are often thought of as daycare centers, which they may be, but if they are also a pre-school they must do school IPM

Agricultural IPM

Municipal pest control

IPM for vegetables, fruits, nursery, greenhouse crops; landscapes, consumers

Agronomic crops, forestry, university instruction,

University

Regulate pesticide use

Agriculture, greenhouse and nursery industries

Are you involved in a funded regional Work Group?

Yes, I am	47.1%
No, I was never involved	17.6%
No, I used to be but not anymore	35.3%

Are you currently involved in any of the EPA school IPM grant projects?

Yes, I am	47.1%
No, I was never involved	23.5%
No, I used to be but not anymore	17.6%
No, I would like to be but	11.8%
(please specify)	

Specify:

Stop School Pests

We received funding from the IPM Institute of North America - not sure if that is EPA funding.

Not yet

I do not work with the EPA program on Guam and know little about what they do. My comments to this survey should not be construed as an accurate report of school IPM activities in our region.

I am indirectly involved in an advisory capacity with 2 of the grant projects. the proposal on which I would have been involved directly as a cooperator was not selected for funding.

iSchool Pest Manager and New Orleans School IPM project

Building sustainable school IPM inside and out: Developing and implementing standardized training materials and IPM proficiency exams for certification

Texas A&M EPA grant